1	Lesley B. Harris (SBN 124248)				
2	LAW OFFICE OF LESLEY HARRIS 55 River Street, Suite 100				
3	Santa Cruz, CA 95060 Telephone: (831) 458-0502 Facsimile: (831) 426-0159				
4	Facsimile: (831) 426-0159 lesleyharrisesq@gmail.com				
5					
6	David G. Geffen (SBN 129342) DAVID GEFFEN LAW FIRM 530 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 434-1111 Facsimile: (310) 434-1115 geffenlaw@aol.com				
7					
8					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs GREGORY PANOS and PETER POULLOS (related action)				
10					
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION				
13					
14					
15	GREGORY P. PANOS,	Case Nos.	CV11-3769-PSG (PSGx) CV11-3982-PSG (PSGx)		
16	Plaintiff,		C v 11-3782-1 5G (1 5Gx)		
17	V.	CONSENT DECREE AND PROPOSED ORDER			
18					
19	SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY, a California Corporation; and DOES	Judge: Honorable Paul S. Grewal			
20	1-10, inclusive,				
21	Defendants.				
22					
23	PETER POULLOS,				
24	Plaintiff.				
25	V.				
26	SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY, a California Corporation; and DOES				
27	1-10, inclusive,				
28	Defendants.				

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Page 1 of 7

TO THE COURT, ALL INTERESTED PARTIES AND ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. Plaintiff GREGORY P. PANOS filed this action (known as Case No. CV11-3769-PSG (PSGx) against Defendant arising out of his experience at the Santa Cruz Boardwalk as a person with a disability who relies upon a Segway as his mobility device. In his action, PANOS is seeking money damages and injunctive relief for, inter alia, violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the "ADA"), corresponding state law claims as well as common law claims in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California on August 1, 2011 and later amended through the filing of a First Amended Complaint on August 11, 2011. On August 12, 2011, Plaintiff PETER POULLOS filed an action against the same Defendant with similar allegations and requested relief arising out of his experience at the Santa Cruz Boardwalk as a person with a disability who relies upon a Segway as his mobility device. (Case no. CV11-3982-PSG (PSGx)) On September 22, 2011, Judge Paul S. Grewal ordered that the cases are related (Document No. 8). Defendant SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY ("Defendant") filed a response to these complaints on September 30, 2011.

2. Defendant and Plaintiffs (collectively sometimes referred to herein as the "Parties" or separately as a "Party") wish to settle the portion of the cases relating to issues of injunctive relief and hereby desire to enter into this Consent Decree. The Parties hereby enter into this Consent Decree and Order for the purpose of resolving certain specified aspects of the lawsuits without the need for protracted litigation, and without the admission of liability of any kind, including, but not limited to: (a) the availability or amount of damages; (b) the amount of attorneys' fees to which Plaintiffs may be entitled; or (c) violation of any law, including those alleged in the complaints.

JURISDICTION:

3. The Parties agree that the Court has jurisdiction of this matter for alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. 12101, et seq. and pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1367(a) for alleged violations of California Civil Code §§54 and 54.1.4; and

4.

Parties agree to entry of this Order to resolve all claims regarding injunctive relief raised in the above-entitled Actions. Accordingly, the Parties agree to the entry of the proposed Order related to this Consent Decree without trial or further adjudication of the issues addressed herein.

In order to avoid the costs, expense, and uncertainty of protracted litigation, the

NO DISMISSAL OF ACTION REQUESTED:

5. Monetary issues are still at issue and accordingly the Parties do not request that the Honorable Court dismiss the actions as these issues may still proceed to trial.

WHEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate to the Court's entry of this Consent Decree and Order, which provides as follows:

SETTLEMENT OF INJUNCTIVE RELIEF:

- 6. This Order shall be a full, complete, and final disposition and settlement of Plaintiffs' claims against Defendant and any other parties for injunctive relief that have arisen out of the acts and/or omissions alleged, or which could have been alleged, in the subject Complaints.
- 7. Remedial Measures: The Segway policy agreed upon by the Parties is attached here to as Exhibit "A", which has been implemented by Defendant
- 8. If Plaintiffs contend any policy change to be implemented under the Consent Decree has not been carried out correctly, Plaintiffs will, collectively, provide written notice to Defendant via its counsel detailing in what respect Plaintiff contends Defendant's policy is non-compliant. Within fourteen (14) calendar days of receiving this notice, Defendant will respond to said notice. If the Parties are unable to agree upon a course of action, they will hold a meet and confer within thirty (30) calendar days of Plaintiffs' written notice and will use their best efforts to resolve the dispute informally. If the Parties are still unable to agree, they will submit the matter to the judge then-assigned to the case.

ISSUES RELATED TO DAMAGES, ATTORNEYS FEES, LITIGATION EXPENSES, AND COSTS REMAIN UNRESOLVED:

9. The Parties have not reached an agreement regarding either of the Plaintiffs' claims for damages, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses and costs in each of the Actions (collectively, the "Unresolved Issues"). These Unresolved Issues shall be the subject of further negotiation, settlement, litigation, and/or motions to the Court. Should the Parties later reach an agreement regarding the Unresolved Issues; the terms of that agreement may be set forth in a separate settlement agreement. Nothing set forth herein shall be deemed to in any way limit or effect a waiver of either of Plaintiffs' past, present or future rights and/or remedies to recover damages, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses or costs in connection with each of their alleged losses, costs, damages, claims and causes of action as set forth in each of the operative Complaints or otherwise, or any rights of the Defendant

ENTIRE CONSENT ORDER:

10. This Consent Decree and Order and Exhibit "A" to the Consent Decree, which is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth in this document, constitutes the entire agreement between the signing Parties on all matters of injunctive relief, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral, made by any of the Parties or agents of any of the Parties, that is not contained in this written Consent Decree and Order, shall be enforceable regarding the matters of injunctive relief specifically described herein.

CONSENT ORDER BINDING ON PARTIES AND SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST:

11. This Consent Decree and Order shall be binding on Plaintiffs and Defendant and any of Defendant's successors in interest, heirs and assigns, or any them, and each of their respective successors in interest, heirs and assigns or any of them. The Parties have a duty to so notify all such successors in interest of the existence and terms of this Consent Decree and Order during the period of the Court's jurisdiction of this Consent Decree and Order and each party hereby represents and warrants that they shall inform each of their successors and assigns, including any person or entity acquiring an interest in the Property or

binding upon said successors and assigns.

MUTUAL RELEASE AND WAIVER OF CIVIL CODE SECTION 1542 AS TO INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ONLY:

the business operated thereat of the terms of this Consent Decree and the fact that it shall be

12. Except for the obligations set forth in this Consent Decree and the Unresolved Issues, the Parties, on behalf of themselves, their respective agents, representatives, predecessors, successors, heirs, partners and assigns, releases and forever discharges each other Party and all officers, directors, shareholders, subsidiaries, joint venturers, stockholders, partners, parent companies, employees, agents, attorneys, insurance carriers, heirs, predecessors, and representatives of each other Party, from all claims, demands, actions, and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, presently known or unknown, arising out of or in any way connected with the current physical condition and/or accessibility of the Property whether or not addressed in the instant action.

13. Each of the Parties understands and agrees that there is a risk and possibility that, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Decree, any or all of them will incur, suffer, or experience some further loss or damage with respect to the current condition and/or accessibility of the Property, which are unknown or unanticipated at the time this Consent Decree is entered. Therefore, except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree, and the Unresolved Issues, it is the intent of the Parties that the release set forth in of this Consent Decree shall apply to and cover any and all claims, demands, actions and causes of action by the Parties with respect to the current physical condition of the Property and any or all improvements thereon, whether the same are known, unknown or hereafter discovered or ascertained, and the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code are hereby expressly waived. Section 1542 provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

1 TERM OF THE CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER: 2 14. This Consent Decree and Order shall be in full force and effect for a period of 3 twelve (12) months after the date of entry of this Consent Decree and Order, or until the 4 injunctive relief contemplated by this Order is completed, whichever occurs later. The Court 5 shall retain jurisdiction of this action to enforce provisions of this Order for twelve (12) 6 months after the date of this Consent Decree, or until the relief contemplated by this Order is 7 completed, whichever occurs later. 8 SEVERABILITY: 9 15. If any term of this Consent Decree and Order is determined by any court to be 10 unenforceable, all other terms of this Consent Decree and Order shall nonetheless remain in 11 full force and effect. 12 SIGNATORIES BIND PARTIES: Signatories on the behalf of the Parties represent that they are authorized to 13 16. 14 bind the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order. This Consent Decree and Order may be 15 signed in counterparts and a facsimile or e-mail signature shall have the same force and effect as an original signature. 16 17 Swoody 09, 2015 18 Dated: December 19 20 Dated: December , 2011 PETER POULLOS, Plaintiff 21 22 SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY Dated: December , 2011 23 24 By. Name/Signature, Defendant 25 Title: 26 27 28

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
Page 6 of 7

1 2

34

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

25 24

25

26 27

28

TERM OF THE CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER: This Consent Decree and Order shall be in full force and effect for a period of twelve (12) months after the date of entry of this Consent Decree and Order, or until the bijanotive relief contemplated by this Order is completed, whichever occurs later. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to enforce provisions of this Order for twelve (12) months after the date of this Consent Decree, or until the relief contemplated by this Order is completed, whichever occurs later. SEVERABILITY: 15. If any terra of this Consent Decree and Order is determined by any court to be menforceable, all other teams of this Consent Decree and Order shall monetheless remain in full force and effect. SIGNATORIES BIND PARTIES: Signalories on the behalf of the Parties represent that they are authorized to 16. bind the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order. This Consent Decree and Order may be similed in counterparts and a facsimile or e-mail signature shall have the same force and effect as an original signature. Dated: December , 2011 PETER POULLOS, Plaintiff FEBRUARY OU, 2012 MH Dated: December -SANTA CRITZ SEASIDE COMPANY Name/Signature, Defendant Title: DIRECTOR of SAFETH & SECURITY WES W. WALKER

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Page 6 of 7 JAN. 13. 2012 2:26PM

RADIOLOGY DEPARTMENT

NO. 8127 P. 7

1 2

TERM OF THE CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER:

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 28

27 28

This Consent Decree and Order shall be in full force and effect for a period of 14. twelve (12) months after the date of entry of this Consent Decree and Order, or until the injunctive relief contemplated by this Order is completed, whichever occurs later. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to enforce provisions of this Order for twelve (12) months after the date of this Consent Decree, or until the relief contemplated by this Order is completed, whichever occurs later.

SEVERABILITY:

If any term of this Consent Decree and Order is determined by any court to be 15. unenforceable, all other terms of this Consent Decree and Order shall nonetheless remain in full force and effect.

SIGNATORIES BIND PARTIES:

Signatories on the behalf of the Parties represent that they are authorized to bind the Parties to this Consent Decree and Order. This Consent Decree and Order may be signed in counterparts and a facsimile or e-mail signature shall have the same force and effect as an original signature.

Dated: December , 2011

GREGORY P. PANOS, Plaintiff

Dated: December

.2011

By

FERMANY 06,2012 Dated: December

SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY

Name/Signature, Defendant Title: DINEGOL OF SAFETY 7.

- SECURITY

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Page 6 of 7

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
2	Dated: December -, 2011	I AW OFFICE OF LEGITETIANNIC			
3	Dated: December—, 2011	LAW OFFICE OF LESLIE HARRIS			
4		By: UPSLEY HADDIS			
5		LESLEY HARRIS Counsel for Plaintiffs GREGORY P. PANOS and PETER POULLOS			
6		PETER POULLOS			
7	Dated: December _ , 2011	DAVID GEFFEN LAW FIRM			
8		Ву:			
9		DAVID GEFFEN Counsel for Plaintiffs GREGORY P. PANOS and			
10		GREGORY P. PANOS and PETER POULLOS			
11	Dated: December , 2011	NEWTON REMMEL			
12	, 2011				
13		By:STEPHEN L. NEWTON			
14		Counsel for Defendant SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY			
15 16					
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
18	Dated: December , 2011				
19		Honorable Paul S. Grewal United States District Court Judge			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER				

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
Page 7 of 7

Case 5:11-cv-03982-PSG Document 14 Filed 03/26/12 Page 11 of 11

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
2	Dated: December , 2011	LAW OFFICE OF LESLIE HARRIS
4		By:
5		LESLEY HARRIS Counsel for Plaintiffs
6		GREGORY P. PANOS and PETER POULLOS
7	Dated: December _ , 2011	DAVID GEFFEN LAW FIRM
8		By:
9 10		DAVID GEFFEN Counsel for Plaintiffs GREGORY P. PANOS and
11	Dated: December, 2011	PETER POULLOS
12	Dated: December, 2011	NEWTON REMMEL
13		By: Styland Mentin
14		STEPHEN L. NEWTON Counsel for Defendant
15		SANTA CRUZ SEASIDE COMPANY
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
18	Dated: December XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	Honorable Paul S. Grewal
19		United States District Court Judge
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

CONSENT DECREE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Page 7 of 7